

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/397,481	C	9/16/1999	JAMES L. HAWS	004578.1025(004578.1025(8802	
45507	7590	07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER B	OTTS LL	P		CHAMBERS,	A MICHAEL	
2001 ROSS	AVENUE					
6TH FLOO	R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, 7	ΓX 75201			3753		

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/397,481	HAWS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Michael Chambers	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			;
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(e). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty i will eppty and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communi NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/	<u> 29/04</u> .		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	n.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) 7-12 and 19-23 is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-6,13-18 and 24-36 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 1999 is		objected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		.,,,,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	plication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	·	eceived in this National Stag	е
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	.,	

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to an amendment filed September 29, 2004. A request for Continued Prosecution (RCE) was filed March 26, 2003. A communication from the examiner stating that the RCE and preliminary amendment filed March 6, 2003, was a Nonresponsive Amendment (failure to comply with 1..111 (b)) was incorrect. In view of the filing of the RCE on March 26, 2003, the finality of the Office action mailed December 31, 2002, has previously been withdrawn. An interview was held on July 21, 2004. In that interview the examiner stated that claims 7-12 and 19-23, which include the recitation of ribs and their function were allowable. Claims 1, 14, and 27 have been amended to the recitation that the heat pipe is disposed "... at least substantially within the chamber and disposed" within the material of the housing. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 13, and 24-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Faghri (4,976,308). Note the apparatus of Faghri which includes a housing 12 having as chamber including a phase change "porous" heat absorbing material (lithium salt such as lithium hydride) 18 and a plurality of heat pipes 22 disposed therein. The housing includes a plurality of "thermally conductive ribs" 23 (Figures 3 and 4). The heat pipes extend from the inner and outer

Art Unit: 3753

surface of the housing which includes the phase change material. Antennae system recitation is readable on the heat pipes 22 and fins 23 structure (claim 23). With regard to claim 35, note column 3, lines 55+ in which brazing is disclosed as a method of construction of the apparatus (i.e., heat exchanger). Applicants' remarks were considered, however, not deemed persuasive. Ribs 23 defining channels are shown in Figure 3 of Faghri. The ribs 23 of Faghri do not include openings and the channels do not "... facilitate fluid communication between portions of said chamber." as recited in the last paragraph of allowed independent claim 7. Claims 1-6, 13, 24-26, method claims 14-18, and 27-36 do not include such recitation. As discussed above, claims 1, 14, and 27 have been amended to the recitation that the heat pipe is disposed "... at least substantially within the chamber and disposed" within the material of the housing. However contrary to applicants' remarks such heat pipe disposition is clearly shown in Figure 3 of Faghri. Remarks drawn to heat transfer characteristics of the housing of Faghri were considered, however the "... heat pipe 22 (of Faghri is) disposed at least substantially within the chamber (which includes the phase change "porous" heat absorbing material) and disposed within the material of said housing (12)." as recited in the independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3753

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co., 148 USPQ 459*, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 7. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faghri. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to operate the apparatus of Faghri, as discussed above, by the recited method steps.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7-12 and 19-23 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Friefeld et al (Figure 1) is of particular interest. Note fins 16 and "salt" in the compartments 18.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Michael Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-4908.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Michael Chambers Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

Page 6

amc

January 24, 2005